1. UNDERTAKING DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Federal Project Number</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>08</td>
<td>SBd</td>
<td>BRLS-6507 (003)</td>
<td>City of San Bernardino</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) pursuant to 23 U.S.C. 327 and the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016, and executed by the Federal Highway Administration and Caltrans.

The studies for this undertaking were carried out in a manner consistent with Caltrans’ regulatory responsibilities under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (36 CFR Part 800) and pursuant to the January 2014 First Amended Programmatic Agreement among the Federal Highway Administration, the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, the California State Historic Preservation Officer, and the California Department of Transportation Regarding Compliance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (Section 106 PA), as well as under Public Resources Code 5024 and pursuant to the January 2015 Memorandum of Understanding Between the California Department of Transportation and the California State Historic Preservation Office Regarding Compliance with Public Resources Code Section 5024 and Governor’s Executive Order W-26-92 (5024 MOU) as applicable.

**Project Description: Mount Vernon Avenue Bridge (54C-066) Replacement Project**

The San Bernardino County Transportation Agency (SBCTA), in cooperation with Caltrans, is proposing to replace the existing Mount Vernon Avenue Bridge (Bridge Number 54C-066) over the Burlington Northern Santa Fe (BNSF) rail yard in the city of San Bernardino, San Bernardino County, California.

The project is located in the city of San Bernardino, San Bernardino County, California, along Mount Vernon Avenue Bridge 54C-066, Section 7, Township 1 South, and Range 4 West, on the San Bernardino South U.S. Geological Survey 7.5-minute quadrangle map. See Attachment A, Figures 1 and 2, for the Project Vicinity and Project Location Maps in this Supplemental Historic Property Survey Report (SHPSR).

The purpose of the proposed project is to provide a bridge that is structurally safe, meeting current seismic, design, and roadway standards.

The Preferred Alternative (Alternative 3 – Bridge Replacement) was identified in 2011 and extended from just south of 5th Street to just north of King Street. Based on the identified project improvements/refinements, the project would now extend from just south of 5th Street to Rialto Avenue in the city of San Bernardino. The full project description of the design refinements is included in the Supplemental Historical Resources Evaluation Report (SHRER) (Attachment C) and Supplemental Archaeological Survey Report (SASR) (Attachment D).

A Historic Property Survey Report (HPSR) was originally completed in August 2001 for the proposed Mount Vernon Avenue Bridge Replacement Project. The State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) concurred with the 2001 HPSR on March 1, 2002. An SHPSR was prepared in March 2007 to take into account modifications to the project design, which required changes to the 2001 Area of Potential Effects (APE). The results of the 2007 study found that a building...
located at 240 North Mount Vernon Avenue, determined eligible for the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) in 2001, had been demolished in 2003 (see Attachment E for the Finding of Effect [FOE]). Documentation relating to the demolition of the historic property was prepared by Caltrans District 8 Cultural Studies staff. No additional buildings in the 2006 APE required evaluation. Caltrans approved a Finding of Effect for the undertaking in 2007. Because the SHPO did not formally concur on Caltrans’ proposed Adverse Effect finding, Caltrans assumed concurrence and proceeded with a Memorandum of Agreement, signed by the SHPO in 2009 and later by Caltrans in 2011.

Given the length of time since the first SHPSR was signed in 2007, and because it has been noted that additional project improvements/refinements are needed that were not included in the document, supplemental Section 106 compliance documents are required. This second SHPSR has been prepared to take into account proposed improvements/refinements to the project design since the first SHPSR in 2007, which requires additional changes to the APE.

### 2. AREA OF POTENTIAL EFFECTS

The APE for the undertaking was originally established in 2000 as part of the original HPSR prepared for the undertaking (approved August 2001). The APE was revised in 2006 in consultation with Christie Hammond, Caltrans District 8 Principal Architectural Historian (PQS), and Sean Yeung, Local Assistance Engineer, to include a revised boundary due to minor design changes determined since the original HPSR was completed.

In accordance with the Section 106 PA (January 2014), Stipulation VIII.A, the revised (2018) APE for the project was established in consultation with Andrew Walters, Principal Architectural Historian PQS, and David Lee, Project Manager/Local Assistance Planner, on March 22, 2018. The APE map is Figure 3 in Attachment A of this SHPSR.

The purpose of the APE is to delineate the geographic areas within which an undertaking may directly or indirectly cause alteration in the character or use of historic properties, if any such properties exist. The project’s updated APE has been defined in accordance with 36 CFR 800.16(d) and (i) with the purpose of identifying cultural resources within the project’s expanded footprint. The APE boundaries for the proposed project were drawn large enough to encompass all areas subject to ground disturbance or modifications. The APE was established as the limits of proposed construction, including the limits of the current and proposed right of way, temporary construction easements plus a sufficient buffer to allow heavy equipment to maneuver, and potential staging areas. The APE further encompasses the full boundaries of previously recorded or newly identified archaeological sites that are partially within the project limits. The APE was further expanded to encompass entire parcels where previously recorded or newly identified built resources could be sensitive to visual, noise, and vibration effects. The western quadrant of the APE was expanded in particular to include the extents of the Santa Fe railyard, which was evaluated as part of these updated studies. The guiding tenet in delineating the APE is that it be commensurate with the undertaking’s potential to affect historic properties, should any exist.

The vertical APE within the project limits is anticipated to range from 3 feet to 100 feet deep, depending on construction activity. Limited locations may require excavating to depths of up to approximately 80 to 100 feet for bridge pilings as well as associated drilling activities. Depths of up to three to four feet would be required for roadway excavation. Excavations depths of up to
five to six feet would be required for retaining walls and 4 to 14 feet for drainage trenching. However, subsurface sensitivity for undiscovered cultural materials is considered low overall for the project given the APE has been built out, graded, constructed upon, and utilized for numerous construction projects for over the past 100 years.

The original APE (2001) and the revised APE from 2006 are clearly shown on the most recent attached APE map (Attachment A). The most recent revisions, which are addressed in this report, are also clearly delineated. The most recent APE more than doubles the two combined previous APEs due to design changes, and is approximately 186 acres.

### 3. CONSULTING PARTIES / PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

- **Local Government**
  
  On August 2, 2017, a letter and map set were sent to the City of San Bernardino Historic Preservation Commission, a local government agency. The letter requested information regarding any historic buildings, districts, sites, objects, or archaeological sites of significance within the proposed project area. In addition, a phone call was made to the San Bernardino Landmarks Commission on January 16, 2018. No response has been received from either of these to date.

- **Native American Heritage Commission**
  
  A request to the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) was made for the project on April 8, 2004. On May 10, 2004, the NAHC responded that a search of its Sacred Lands File for the affected project area failed to indicate the presence of Native American cultural resources in the immediate project area.

- **Native American Tribes, Groups and Individuals**
  
  Letters were sent to the tribal contacts the NAHC provided as part of consultation efforts in 2004. On September 17, 2004, the San Manuel Band of Mission Indians responded via letter that they had no knowledge of any culturally sensitive locations in the project area. No other tribe responded to consultation attempts. Although none of the previously contacted tribes identified any concerns regarding the project, updated letters were sent to nine tribes on August 29, 2017. Additionally, calls were made to each individual and group. Lee Clauss of the San Manuel Band of Mission Indians and Anthony Morales of the Gabrieleno/Tongva San Gabriel Band of Mission Indians responded to consultation attempts.

  A response was received from Lee Clauss on behalf of the San Manuel Band of Mission Indians in which she sent an email in response to contact attempts to Gary Jones of Caltrans on October 3, 2017. In her email she stated that the project was of interest to the tribe because it is located in the Serrano ancestral territory. In addition, she requested a copy of the Draft Archaeological Survey Report and the literature and records search results. These were sent to her on January 9, 2018. Because the tribe has not responded, and because previous disturbance and the record search information acquired for the project indicate a low sensitivity for prehistoric cultural resources, Caltrans is assuming the tribe has no further concerns and is proceeding to the next phase of the undertaking.
In his response, Mr. Morales indicated that monitoring by both archaeologists and Native Americans should be conducted for underground work. A monitoring denial letter was sent to Mr. Morales dated March 5, 2018, which indicated that the project APE was determined to not have a high probability of encountering intact, buried prehistoric cultural deposits, and therefore Native American monitoring was determined to be unnecessary for this project. This conclusion is based upon: (1) the results of the records search, which did not identify any prehistoric sites in or near the project; (2) statements from the San Manuel Band of Mission Indians indicating that they have no knowledge of any sites or culturally sensitive locations in the project area; (3) the fact that no prehistoric deposits were identified during the sub-surface data recovery work at CA-SBR-8695H (Swope et al. 1997); and (4) the fact that there was no surface evidence of prehistoric sites found during past or current field surveys (see Attachment H of this report for a copy of this letter). No response has been received to date.

The following individuals were contacted via letter on August, 29 2017, and via phone on September 27 and November 2, 2017; however, no response was received:

- Cindi Alvitre, Ti’at Society
- Michael Contreras, Morongo Band of Mission Indians
- Sam Dunlap, Gabrieliño/Tongva Council/Gabrieliño/Tongva Nation
- Joseph Hamilton, Ramona Band of Cahuilla Mission Indians
- Anthony Madrigal, Cahuilla Band of Indians
- James Ramos, San Manuel Band of Mission Indians
- Goldie Walker, Serrano Nation of Indians

No further response has been received from these tribes contacted for this 2nd SHPSR. The complete record of Native American consultation correspondence is included in Attachment H.

Local Historical Society / Historic Preservation Group

Updated consultation letters were sent to the same groups as in the 2007 SHPSR, none of whom responded at that time. On August 2, 2017, a letter and map set were sent to the following societies/groups who may have knowledge of or concerns regarding historic properties in the area. The letter requested information regarding any historic buildings, districts, sites, objects, or archaeological sites of significance within the proposed project area.

- San Bernardino Historical and Pioneer Society (San Bernardino History & Railroad Museum)
- San Bernardino Railroad Historical Society
- San Bernardino County Historical Archives
- San Bernardino County Museum
- California Historic Route 66 Association
- California State Railroad Museum
- Historical Society of Southern California
All parties were contacted again during the week of December 18, 2017, either by phone or email, as follow-up. One organization, the California State Railroad Museum, requested a copy of the original letter, which was sent to the organization on December 18, 2017. In addition, a copy of the letter was re-sent to the California Historic Route 66 Association on December 21, 2017, as efforts to reach this organization via phone or email proved unsuccessful. Only the San Bernardino County Historical Archives responded to the letter, providing resources to research properties in the project APE. No further responses have been received as of March 2018. The complete record of correspondence with Local Historical Societies/Historic Preservation Groups is included in Attachment G.

### 4. SUMMARY OF IDENTIFICATION EFFORTS

- National Register of Historic Places (NRHP)
- California Points of Historical Interest
- California Register of Historical Resources (CRHR)
- California Historical Resources Information System (CHRIS)
- California Historical Landmarks (CHL)
- Caltrans Historic Highway Bridge Inventory
- Other Sources consulted:
  - Archaeological Site Records

An in-person records search was performed by Archaeologist Nara Cox on July 24, 2017 at the South Central Coastal Information Center of the California Historical Resources Information System.

**Results:**

Prior to field investigations, a cultural resource records search was conducted on July 24, 2017 at the South Central Coastal Information Center of the California Historical Resources Information System. This records search was conducted for the project footprint and a 0.25-mile radius around the project footprint. The results of the records search indicate that a total of 26 previous studies have occurred in the records search area. Of these studies, 12 have occurred in, or partially within, the present project APE. In addition, the results of the records search indicate that 22 previously recorded cultural resources occur in the records search area. One previously recorded archaeological site, the Santa Fe Site (36-008695/CA-SBR-8695H), is mapped within the APE. This site consisted of 11 privy deposits and two refuse dumps associated with residences present on the property between 1895 and 1916. The 13 features were discovered during monitoring of demolition and grading activities in 1995–1996, and were recorded and recovered for analysis. Artifacts recovered from the 13 features consisted of a typical domestic assemblage dating to the late 19th and early 20th
centuries including glass, ceramics, hardware, food bone, personal items, and construction debris. Because previously identified archaeological deposits were destroyed during the sub-excavation that followed the data recovery of the discovered features and because inspection of the lower strata did not reveal additional archaeological remains, the potential to uncover archaeological features is very low. Because of this, the site is no longer included in the vertical APE. As part of this study, the site record was updated to reflect the fact that the site is no longer extant (see Appendix B of the 2nd SASR for the updated site record).

Additionally, a segment of the California Southern Railroad was also found to be in the APE through the records search. The segment located in the APE was evaluated as part of the Santa Fe rail yard in the 1st SHRER (March 2018) and found ineligible for the NRHP (see Attachment C of this report).

Although the records search returned that there were 22 previously recorded cultural resources in the APE, previous studies conducted for this project evaluated 23 other buildings/structures that were found to be ineligible for the NRHP. These are an additional 23 buildings/structures that were not identified in the records search. Thirteen of those were determined to be exempt from evaluation in accordance with Attachment 4 of the Section 106 PA and the other 10 were reevaluated in the SHRER (see Chapter 6, Section 6.1, items c and i in the SHRER, Attachment D of this report, for the addresses and Map Resource Numbers [MRNs] of these 23 buildings/structures).

In addition, further research revealed that the Metrolink Parking Structure HPSR, prepared by David M. Van Horn in 2009, covers part of the APE. The report revealed that the APE included two ditches that were identified and evaluated for NRHP eligibility: the Santa Fe Ditch (P-36-014221) and Viaduct Boulevard Ditch (P-36-014222). The 2009 HPSR found that neither ditch was eligible for inclusion in the NRHP. SHPO concurred on March 5, 2009, that the ditches were ineligible for listing in the NRHP. The pedestrian field surveys completed for this current project on October 6, 2017, December 21, 2017, and January 10, 2018, revealed that both ditches appear to have been destroyed during the construction of the parking structure.

A former segment of Route 66, now known as West 4th Street, and the Santa Fe rail yard were not identified in the record search results, but both were evaluated as part of these 2018 updated studies (MRNs 27 and 56, respectively), and found ineligible for the NRHP. Lastly, the Santa Fe Depot, listed on the NRHP, was also not identified in the APE through the records search; however, it is known to be in the APE. The project is not expected to have an adverse effect on the Santa Fe Depot.

The locations of the former Santa Fe Site, the former segment of Route 66, and the former locations of the two historical-period ditches are reflected on the APE Map, located in Attachment A.
5. PROPERTIES IDENTIFIED

Jessica Feldman, Senior Architectural Historian with ICF, who meets the Professionally Qualified Staff (PQS) Standards in Section 106 PA Attachment 1 and as applicable PRC 5024 MOU Attachment 1 as a(n) Architectural Historian, has determined that the only other properties present within the APE meet the criteria for Section 106 PA Attachment 4 (Properties Exempt from Evaluation) and as applicable PRC 5024 MOU Stipulation VIII.C.1 and Attachment 4.

Caltrans, in accordance with Section 106 PA Stipulation VIII.C.5 and as applicable PRC 5024 MOU Stipulation VIII.C.5, has determined there are cultural resources within the APE that were previously determined not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP and/or not eligible for registration as a CHL with SHPO concurrence and those determinations remain valid. Copy of SHPO/Keeper correspondence is attached.

There are 23 buildings in the APE that were previously determined not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP in consultation with SHPO in 2002. However, due to the passage of time and changing guidelines and perceptions of the past, 10 of those buildings were re-evaluated as part of the current study; each retains the MRN originally assigned in 2007. All 10 re-evaluated buildings were determined not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP. The 2001 determination remains valid (see table below). The remaining 13 previously evaluated properties qualified as properties exempt from evaluation. Under Section 106 PA Stipulation VIII.C.6 and as applicable PRC 5024 MOU Stipulation VIII.C.6, Caltrans requests SHPO’s concurrence in this determination.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address/Location</th>
<th>Community</th>
<th>OHP Status Code</th>
<th>MRN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1340 Kingman Avenue</td>
<td>San Bernardino</td>
<td>6Z</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1314 Kingman Avenue</td>
<td>San Bernardino</td>
<td>6Z</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>436 N. Mount Vernon Avenue</td>
<td>San Bernardino</td>
<td>6Z</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1335 3rd Street</td>
<td>San Bernardino</td>
<td>6Z</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>248 N. Mount Vernon Avenue</td>
<td>San Bernardino</td>
<td>6Z</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>232 N. Mount Vernon Avenue</td>
<td>San Bernardino</td>
<td>6Z</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>202 N. Mount Vernon Avenue</td>
<td>San Bernardino</td>
<td>6Z</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1324 2nd Street</td>
<td>San Bernardino</td>
<td>6Z</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>190 N. Mount Vernon Avenue</td>
<td>San Bernardino</td>
<td>6Z</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1225–1227 2nd Street</td>
<td>San Bernardino</td>
<td>6Z</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Caltrans has determined there are cultural resources within the APE that were evaluated as a result of this project and are not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP/CHL. Under Section 106 PA Stipulation VIII.C.6 and as applicable PRC 5024 MOU Stipulation VIII.C.6, Caltrans requests SHPO’s concurrence in this determination. The 29 historical period built-environment resources that were evaluated and determined not eligible as part of this project are listed below; each was assigned an MRN, beginning with “27.”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address/Location</th>
<th>Community</th>
<th>OHP Status Code</th>
<th>MRN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Segment of Route 66</td>
<td>4th Street between N. Mount Vernon Street (east) and Tia Juana Street</td>
<td>San Bernardino</td>
<td>6Z</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>440-442 Cabrera Avenue</td>
<td>San Bernardino</td>
<td>6Z</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1456 Kingman Avenue</td>
<td>San Bernardino</td>
<td>6Z</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1510 Kingman Avenue</td>
<td>San Bernardino</td>
<td>6Z</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1528 4th Street</td>
<td>San Bernardino</td>
<td>6Z</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1486 Kingman Avenue</td>
<td>San Bernardino</td>
<td>6Z</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1499 Kingman Avenue</td>
<td>San Bernardino</td>
<td>6Z</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1457 Kingman Avenue</td>
<td>San Bernardino</td>
<td>6Z</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1472 4th Street</td>
<td>San Bernardino</td>
<td>6Z</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1522 4th Street</td>
<td>San Bernardino</td>
<td>6Z</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1528 Kingman Avenue</td>
<td>San Bernardino</td>
<td>6Z</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1515 Kingman Avenue</td>
<td>San Bernardino</td>
<td>6Z</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1479 Kingman Avenue</td>
<td>San Bernardino</td>
<td>6Z</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1388 Kingman Avenue</td>
<td>San Bernardino</td>
<td>6Z</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1428-1430 Kingman Avenue</td>
<td>San Bernardino</td>
<td>6Z</td>
<td>41/42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1440 Kingman Avenue</td>
<td>San Bernardino</td>
<td>6Z</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1454 Kingman Avenue</td>
<td>San Bernardino</td>
<td>6Z</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1370 Kingman Avenue</td>
<td>San Bernardino</td>
<td>6Z</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1447 Kingman Avenue</td>
<td>San Bernardino</td>
<td>6Z</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1439 Kingman Avenue</td>
<td>San Bernardino</td>
<td>6Z</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1431 Kingman Avenue</td>
<td>San Bernardino</td>
<td>6Z</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1367 Kingman Avenue</td>
<td>San Bernardino</td>
<td>6Z</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1448 4th Street</td>
<td>San Bernardino</td>
<td>6Z</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1415 Kingman Avenue</td>
<td>San Bernardino</td>
<td>6Z</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Caltrans, in accordance with Section 106 PA Stipulation VIII.C.5 and as applicable PRC 5024 MOU Stipulation VIII.C.5, has determined there are properties within the APE that were previously determined eligible for inclusion in the NRHP and/or as CHLs and those determinations remain valid. Copy of SHPO/Keeper correspondence is attached.

- Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Passenger and Freight Depot (MRN 9, 1170 West 3rd Street, City of San Bernardino, was listed in the NRHP on February 2, 2001 under Criterion C at the State level of significance. See MRN 9 on the APE Map in Attachment A of this report.

- Mount Vernon Avenue Bridge (Bridge No. 54C-0066) (APE MRN 26), North Mount Vernon Avenue between West 2nd Street and West 4th Street, City of San Bernardino, was determined eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criteria A and C March 1, 2002. See MRN 26 on the APE Map in Attachment A of this report.

- 240 N Mount Vernon was determined eligible as part of the 2001 study, but has subsequently been demolished as a result of a separate action. This was addressed prior to the 2007 FOE (see Attachment E for the FOE). This was formerly assigned MRN 14.
6. FINDING FOR THE UNDERTAKING

☒ Caltrans, pursuant to Section 106 PA Stipulation X.C and if applicable PRC 5024 MOU Stipulation X.C, has determined a **Finding of Adverse Effect** is appropriate for this undertaking, and requests SHPO’s concurrence in this determination.

☒ Caltrans approved the original FOE for the undertaking in 2007. Because SHPO did not concur with Caltrans’ proposed finding of Adverse Effect finding, Caltrans assumed concurrence and proceeded with a Memorandum of Agreement (MOA), signed by the SHPO in 2009 and by Caltrans in 2011.

No new historic properties were found as a result of the current supplemental study. The design refinements included in this study do not substantially change the level of effect on either the Mount Vernon Avenue Bridge or the Santa Fe Depot, the only Historic Properties in the APE. Therefore, no new treatment measures are required and the original treatment measures included in the existing MOA are sufficient to mitigate the undertaking’s Adverse Effect on these Historic Properties.

However, a revised FOE (2018) was prepared for the undertaking to document the above discussion and to capture the need for a Cultural Review Discovery and Monitoring Plan (CRDMP) due to potential sensitivity in the northwestern quadrant portion of the APE for historical archaeological deposits. The PRDMP is attached to the revised FOE, which is Attachment E of this report.

7. CEQA CONSIDERATIONS

☒ Not applicable; **Caltrans is not the lead agency under CEQA.**

8. LIST OF ATTACHED DOCUMENTATION

☒ Project Vicinity, Location, and APE Maps (Attachment A)

☒ Caltrans Historic Bridge Inventory Sheet (Attachment B)

☒ Supplemental Historical Resources Evaluation Report (SHRER) (Attachment C)
  • Jessica Feldman, ICF, March 2018

☒ Supplemental Archaeological Survey Report (SASR) (Attachment D)
  • Stephen Bryne and Monica Corpuz, ICF, March 2018

☒ Revised Finding of Effect (FOE) (March 2018) (Attachment E)

☒ SHPO Concurrence Letters (Attachment F)

☒ Other:
  • Public Participation (Attachment G)
  • Native American Correspondence (Attachment H)
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# Historical Significance - Local Agency Bridges

## San Bernardino County

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bridge Number</th>
<th>Bridge Name</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Historical Significance</th>
<th>Year Built</th>
<th>Year Wid/Ext</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>54C0001</td>
<td>BARTON ROAD OH</td>
<td>0.1 MI E LA CADENA DR</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1936</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54C0010</td>
<td>K STREET UP</td>
<td>0.35 MI S OF RIVER RD</td>
<td>4. Historical Significance not determined</td>
<td>1926</td>
<td>2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54C0011</td>
<td>LYTLE CAJON CHANNEL (MT VERNON AVE)</td>
<td>1.25 MI N OF I-10</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1945</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54C0015</td>
<td>SANTA ANA RIV. (WATERMAN AVE)</td>
<td>0.4 MI N OF I-10</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1948</td>
<td>1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54C0025</td>
<td>CAJON WASH</td>
<td>.6 MI S OF ROUTE I-215</td>
<td>4. Historical Significance not determined</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54C0026</td>
<td>MOJAVE RIVER</td>
<td>0.5 MI N OF NATL TRA HWY</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1941</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54C0035</td>
<td>CITY CREEK</td>
<td>0.1 MI OF RTE 30</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1985</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54C0037</td>
<td>ELDER GULCH</td>
<td>0.1 MI S OF FIFTH ST</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1936</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54C0046</td>
<td>NORTH FORK DEEP CREEK</td>
<td>10' WEST OF ROUTE 18</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1940</td>
<td>1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54C0057</td>
<td>W CUCAMONGA CRK (HOLT BL)</td>
<td>0.8 MI W VINEYARD AVE</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1986</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54C0058</td>
<td>SAN ANTONIO CREEK CHANNEL</td>
<td>0.2 MI E INDIAN HILL AVE</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1939</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54C0062</td>
<td>RIVERSIDE AVENUE OH</td>
<td>0.1 MI S OF I-10</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1959</td>
<td>1972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54C0063</td>
<td>SIERRA AVENUE OH</td>
<td>0.1 MI S OF I-10</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1959</td>
<td>2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54C0064</td>
<td>CITRUS AVENUE OH</td>
<td>200' S OF I-10</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1960</td>
<td>2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54C0065</td>
<td>CHERRY AVENUE OH</td>
<td>200' S OF I-10</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1959</td>
<td>2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54C0066</td>
<td>MOUNT VERNON AVE OH (1934 BNSF)</td>
<td>.2 MI S OF RTE 66</td>
<td>2. Bridge is eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1934</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54C0067</td>
<td>WATERMAN AVENUE OH</td>
<td>.4 MI S/O RTE 10</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1961</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54C0068</td>
<td>MOJAVE RIVER</td>
<td>2.5 MI NORTH OF I-15</td>
<td>2. Bridge is eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1930</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54C0071</td>
<td>PEPPER AVENUE OH</td>
<td>0.1 MI E RTE 1-10</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1961</td>
<td>1972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54C0074</td>
<td>DEVIL CREEK (CAJON BLVD)</td>
<td>1..1 MI S. OF PALM</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1957</td>
<td>1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54C0075</td>
<td>VERDEMONT UP</td>
<td>0.2 MI SE KENDALL DRIVE</td>
<td>4. Historical Significance not determined</td>
<td>1936</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54C0076</td>
<td>MOUNT VERNON AVE OH (1958)</td>
<td>0.11 MI SW OF I-215</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1958</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54C0077</td>
<td>SANTA ANA RIVER (LA CADENA DR)</td>
<td>1.5 MI SOUTH OF I-10</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1929</td>
<td>1940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54C0078</td>
<td>LA CADENA DRIVE UP</td>
<td>0.75 MI S OF I-10</td>
<td>4. Historical Significance not determined</td>
<td>1936</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54C0079</td>
<td>WOODROW WILSON ELEMENTARY POC</td>
<td>0.6 MI S OF RTE I-10</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1937</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54C0080</td>
<td>LA CADENA DRIVE UP (8TH ST)</td>
<td>0.1 MI E OF I-10</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1956</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54C0085</td>
<td>WILSON CREEK</td>
<td>0.1 MI N/W LIVE OK CYN RD</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1962</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54C0086</td>
<td>MOJAVE RIVER</td>
<td>5 MI E RTE I-15</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1963</td>
<td>1988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54C0087</td>
<td>DEL ROSA CHANNEL (CROSS ST)</td>
<td>0.1 MI E CONEJO ST</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1940</td>
<td>1964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54C0088</td>
<td>BARSTOW OH</td>
<td>0.2 MI N MAIN ST.</td>
<td>4. Historical Significance not determined</td>
<td>1930</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54C0089</td>
<td>MOJAVE RIVER</td>
<td>1.4 MI N/O RTE 15</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1933</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54C0090</td>
<td>MOJAVE RIVER OVERFLOW</td>
<td>0.1 MI N of Irwin Rd.</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1939</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54C0091</td>
<td>FLARE DITCH</td>
<td>1 MI E FORT IRWIN RD</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1929</td>
<td>1952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54C0093</td>
<td>WEST KAISER OH</td>
<td>0.2 MI S I-10</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1964</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54C0093K</td>
<td>SERVICE ROAD OH</td>
<td>0.15 MI N OF AIRPORT</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1965</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54C0094</td>
<td>PARK MOABI ROAD OH</td>
<td>100' N OF RTE I-40</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1966</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54C0098</td>
<td>MISSION STORM DRAIN</td>
<td>CALIFORNIA STREET</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1927</td>
<td>1946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54C0099</td>
<td>MOJAVE RIVER</td>
<td>0.1 MI NE/O D STREET</td>
<td>4. Historical Significance not determined</td>
<td>1928</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54C0100</td>
<td>SANTA ANA RIVER (MT VERNON AVE)</td>
<td>0.6 MI S OF RTE I-10</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1967</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54C0101</td>
<td>S0. MT. VERNON AVE BR &amp; OH</td>
<td>3.1 MI S RTE I-10</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1967</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54C0102</td>
<td>YERMO DITCH</td>
<td>0.2 MI E/O E. YERMO RD</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1929</td>
<td>1952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54C0103</td>
<td>CEDAR AVENUE OH</td>
<td>0.1 MI S OF I-10</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1966</td>
<td>1972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54C0107</td>
<td>MANIX WASH</td>
<td>4.4 MI NE HARVARD RD</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1929</td>
<td>1952</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Attachment C: Supplemental Historical Resources Evaluation Report
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April 13, 2000

Reply To: FHWA000329A

Michael G. Ritchie, Division Administrator
U.S. Department of Transportation
Federal Highway Administration
California Division
980 Ninth Street, Suite 400
Sacramento, CA 95814-2724

Re: Determinations of Eligibility and Effect for the Phase I Rehabilitation of the Santa Fe Railway’s San Bernardino Passenger and Freight Depot, San Bernardino, CA

Dear Mr. Ritchie:

You have provided me with the results of your efforts to determine whether the project described above may affect historic properties. You have done this, and are consulting with me, in order to comply with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act and implementing regulations codified at 36 CFR Part 800.

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) has determined that the Santa Fe Railway Passenger and Freight Depot at 1170 West 3rd Street in San Bernardino, CA, is eligible for the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) under Criteria A and C. The Depot appears eligible under Criterion A at the local level of significance for the role the Santa Fe Railway played in the development of the City of San Bernardino, and as the headquarters for the Santa Fe’s Los Angeles Division, which encompassed the majority of Southern California. The Depot is also significant under Criterion C at a local level as an example of the Mission Revival style.

The FHWA has also determined that the proposed project will have no adverse effect on historic properties. The project consists of cleaning interior and exterior debris, as well as the removal of lead paint and pigeon droppings from the interior of the building.

Based on review of the submitted documentation, I have the following comments:

1) The project’s area of potential effect (APE) is defined appropriately.
2) The cultural resource studies conducted to date are adequate.
3) The Santa Fe Railway Passenger and Freight Depot at 1170 West 3rd Street in San Bernardino, CA, is eligible for the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) under Criteria A and C.
4) The project as described will have no adverse effect on historic properties.

Thank you for considering historic properties during project planning. If you have any questions, please call Natalie Lindquist at (916) 654-0631 or e-mail at nlindquist@ohp.parks.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

Original Signed by

[Signature]

Daniel Abeyta, Acting
State Historic Preservation Officer
March 21, 2001

WAYNE DONALDSON
ARCHITECT MILFORD WAYNE DONALDSON, FAIA
530 SIXTH AVENUE
SAN DIEGO, CA 92101

RE: ATCHISON, TOPEKA AND SANTA FE RAILWAY PASSENGER AND FEIGHT DEPOT
SAN BERNARDINO; SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA

The property listed above was placed on the National Register of Historic Places on February 2, 2001. On that date, this property was placed on the California Register of Historical Resources, pursuant to Section 5024.1(d) of the Public Resources Code.

Placement on the National Register affords a property the honor of inclusion in the nation’s official list of cultural resources worthy of preservation and provides a degree of protection from adverse effects resulting from federally funded or licensed projects. Registration provides a number of incentives for preservation of historic properties, including special building codes to facilitate the restoration of historic structures, and certain tax advantages.

There are no restrictions placed upon a private property owner with regard to normal use, maintenance, or sale of a property listed in the National Register. However, a project that may cause substantial adverse changes in the significance of a registered property may require compliance with local ordinances or the California Environmental Quality Act. In addition, registered properties damaged due to a natural disaster may be subject to the provisions of Section 5028 of the Public Resources Code regarding demolition or significant alterations, if imminent threat to life safety does not exist.

If you have questions or require further information, please contact the National Register Unit at (916) 653-6624.

Sincerely,

Dr. Knox Mellon
State Historic Preservation Officer

Nr.notice.listing
March 1, 2002

REPLY TO: FHWA970414B

Michael G. Ritchie, Division Administrator
Federal Highway Administration
Region Nine, California Division
980 Ninth Street, Suite 400
SACRAMENTO CA 95814-2724

Re: Mount Vernon Avenue Bridge Replacement Project, San Bernardino, San Bernardino County.

Dear Mr. Ritchie:

Thank you for submitting to our office your January 22, 2002 letter and Historic Property Survey Report (HPSR) regarding the proposed replacement of the Mount Vernon Avenue Bridge, a property located in the City of San Bernardino, San Bernardino County. Constructed in 1933-34, the structure has suffered continuing and long-term deterioration of its deck system and is considered functionally obsolete. The bridge has also suffered from constant concrete spalling since its construction due to its proximity to corrosive locomotive stack gases from the nearby yards of the Burlington Northern/Santa Fe Railway. The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) is considering five (5) alternatives for the proposed bridge replacement project including a "no-build" alternative and a seismic retrofit and rehabilitation alternative. FHWA will make a decision on its preferred alternative during the type selection process during Phase 2 of the project. The project Area of Potential Effect (APE), which conforms to the bridge itself, appears adequate and meets the definition set forth in 36 CFR 800.16(d). A reconnaissance survey of the project area by a qualified archeologist revealed no known archeological resources.

FHWA is seeking our comments on its determination of the eligibility of 22 properties of 50 years or older for inclusion on the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) in accordance with 36 CFR 800, regulations implementing Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act. Our review of the submitted HPSR documentation leads us to concur with FHWA on the following:

- The Mount Vernon Avenue Bridge is eligible for inclusion on the NRHP at the level of local significance under Criteria A and C as defined by 36 CFR 60.4. The bridge has strong associations with the use of Route 66 as a major transportation corridor through the San Bernardino area during the Great Depression. The bridge was heralded during that time as the western gateway to San Bernardino. The structure and its landscaped areas at the northwest and southeast ends has also retained sufficient integrity of design, location, materials, workmanship, and feeling associated with its historic period of significance (1934-1952).
The residence located at 240 North Mount Vernon Avenue is eligible for inclusion on the NRHP at the level of local significance under Criterion C as defined in 36 CFR 60.4. The structure is a rare example of an early 20th century small-scale brick masonry residential construction in the context of San Bernardino. The property retains a high level of integrity of design, workmanship, and materials associated with its architectural style.

None of the remaining properties evaluated in the HPSR are eligible for inclusion on the NRHP under any of the criteria established by 36 CFR 60.4. The properties have no strong associations with significant historical events or persons and are not examples of outstanding architectural or engineering design or function.

Thank you again for seeking our comments on your project. We anticipate the receipt of pending documentation that will reveal the purpose and scope of a preferred project alternative. If you have any questions, please contact staff historian Clarence Caesar at (916) 653-8902.

Sincerely,

Original Signed by

Dr. Knox Mellon
State Historic Preservation Officer
March 05, 2009

Christie Hammond
Office Chief, Environmental Support
Department of Transportation
District 8
464 W. Fourth Street, 6th Floor
San Bernardino, CA 92401-1400

Re: Determinations of Eligibility for Proposed Construction of Metrolink Parking Structure at Santa Fe Depot Location, San Bernardino, CA

Dear Ms. Hammond:

Thank you for consulting with me about the subject undertaking in accordance with the Programmatic Agreement Among the Federal Highway Administration, the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, the California State Historic Preservation Officer, and the California Department of Transportation Regarding Compliance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act, as it Pertains to the Administration of the Federal-Aid Highway Program in California (PA).

The California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) in conjunction with the City of San Bernardino (City) proposes to construct a reinforced concrete five-level parking structure and on-site landscaping, sidewalks, and driveway access to and from an existing Metrolink Station in the City of San Bernardino. Section 106 activities to date for this project include a Historic Properties Survey Report (HPSR, February 2009).

Consultation and Identification efforts for the proposed undertaking have identified four cultural resources within the Area of Potential Effect (APE):

Two properties that were previously determined eligible for or listed on the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP):

- Atchison, Topeka, and Santa Fe Railway Passenger and Freight Depot, 1170 W 3rd Street, San Bernardino (listed on the NRHP under Criterion C, February 2, 2001)
- Mount Vernon Avenue Bridge (Bridge No. 54C-0066), San Bernardino (determined eligible for listing on NRHP under Criteria A and C at the local level of significance, March 1, 2002)

Two properties evaluated as part of the HPSR:

- Santa Fe Ditch (Primary #: 39-014221), a stone-lined drainage ditch
- Viaduct Boulevard Ditch (Primary #: 36-14222), a stone-lined drainage ditch

Pursuant to Stipulation VIII.C.5 of the PA, you are requesting I concur that both the Santa Fe Ditch and the Viaduct Boulevard Ditch are ineligible for listing on the NRHP. Having reviewed the HPSR, I concur with your eligibility determinations.
Thank you for considering historic properties during project planning. I look forward to receiving your Finding of Effect document pertaining to the undertaking’s effects on the eligible and listed properties located within the APE. If you have any questions or comments, please contact Natalie Lindquist or Tristan Tozer of my staff at (916) 654-0631 (Natalie) or (916) 653-8920 (Tristan) or e-mail at nlindquist@parks.ca.gov and ttozer@parks.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

Susan K. Stratton for

Milford Wayne Donaldson, FAIA
California State Historic Preservation Officer
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency/Organization</th>
<th>Contact Name</th>
<th>Date &amp; Method of 1st Contact</th>
<th>Date &amp; Method of 2nd Contact</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City of San Bernardino Historic Preservation Commission</td>
<td>Lisa Sherrick</td>
<td>Letter sent August 2, 2017</td>
<td>Phone call January 16, 2017</td>
<td>No response received to date.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Development, Planning Division 290 North D Street San Bernardino, CA 92401 Phone: (909) 384-7276</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Bernardino Railroad Historical Society P.O. Box 2878 San Bernardino, CA 92604</td>
<td>President Warren Peterson</td>
<td>Letter sent August 2, 2017</td>
<td>Phone or email week of December 18, 2017</td>
<td>No response received to date.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Bernardino Historical and Pioneer Society (San Bernardino History &amp; Railroad Museum) P.O. Box 875 San Bernardino, CA 92402 Phone: (909) 888-3634</td>
<td>President Steve Shaw</td>
<td>Letter sent August 2, 2017</td>
<td>Phone or email week of December 18, 2017</td>
<td>No response received to date.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Bernardino County Historical Archives 1808 Commerce Center West, Suite D San Bernardino, CA 92415 Phone: (909) 890-1713</td>
<td>Genevieve Preston</td>
<td>Letter sent August 2, 2017</td>
<td>Phone or email week of December 18, 2017</td>
<td>Responded via email August 11, 2017. Provided resources to research properties in the project APE.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Bernardino County Museum 2024 Orange Tree Lane Redlands, California 92374 Phone: (909) 798-8601</td>
<td>Melissa Russo (Museum Director)</td>
<td>Letter sent August 2, 2017</td>
<td>Phone or email week of December 18, 2017</td>
<td>No response received to date.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Historic Route 66 Association 17868 Highway 18 #153-66 Apple Valley, CA 92307</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Letter sent August 2, 2017</td>
<td>Phone or email week of December 18, 2017</td>
<td>No response received to date.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California State Railroad Museum Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 445-7387</td>
<td>Phil Sexton</td>
<td>Letter sent August 2, 2017</td>
<td>Phone or email week of December 18, 2017</td>
<td>Requested a copy of the original letter sent. Was sent to them on December 18, 2017. No further response received.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historical Society of Southern California P.O. Box 50019 Long Beach, CA 90815 Phone: (323) 222-0546</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Letter sent August 2, 2017</td>
<td>Phone or email week of December 18, 2017</td>
<td>No response received to date.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>Contact</td>
<td>Date of Letter</td>
<td>Method of Follow-up</td>
<td>Response Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Preservation Foundation</td>
<td>Cindy Heitzman</td>
<td>Letter sent</td>
<td>Phone or email week of December 18, 2017</td>
<td>No response received to date.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Third Street, Suite 424</td>
<td>(Executive Director)</td>
<td>August 2, 2017</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Francisco, CA 94103</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone: (415) 495-0349 x203</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Historical Society</td>
<td>Anthea M, Hartig</td>
<td>Letter sent</td>
<td>Phone or email week of December 18, 2017</td>
<td>No response received to date.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>678 Mission Street</td>
<td>(Executive Director)</td>
<td>August 2, 2017</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Francisco, CA 94103</td>
<td>and CEO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone: (415) 357-1848</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Society of Architectural Historians Southern California Chapter</td>
<td>Sian Winship</td>
<td>Letter sent</td>
<td>Phone or email week of December 18, 2017</td>
<td>No response received to date.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P.O. Box 56478</td>
<td>(President)</td>
<td>August 2, 2017</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sherman Oaks, CA 91413</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone: (800) 972-4722</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Agencies and Organizations for Public Participation Sections (San Bernardino County)

City of San Bernardino Historic Preservation Commission
ATTN: Lisa Sherrick
Community Development, Planning Division
290 North D Street
San Bernardino, CA 92401
Phone: (909) 384-7276
Website: http://www.sbcity.org/cityhall/community_development/default.asp

San Bernardino Railroad Historical Society
ATTN: President Warren Peterson
P.O. Box 2878
San Bernardino, CA 92406
Website: http://sbrhs.org/

San Bernardino Historical and Pioneer Society (San Bernardino History & Railroad Museum)
ATTN: President Steve Shaw
P.O. Box 875
San Bernardino, CA 92402
Phone: (909) 888-3634
Website: http://www.sbdepotmuseum.com/

San Bernardino County Historical Archives
ATTN: Genevieve Preston
1808 Commercenter West, Suite D
San Bernardino, CA 92415
Phone: (909) 890-1713
Website: http://www.sbcounty.gov/ARC/Main/Archives/General.aspx

San Bernardino County Museum
ATTN: Melissa Russo (Museum Director)
2024 Orange Tree Lane
Redlands, California 92374
Phone: (909) 798-8601
Website: http://www.sbcounty.gov/museum/

California Historic Route 66 Association
17868 Highway 18
#153-66
Apple Valley, CA 92307
Website: http://route66ca.org/about/

California State Railroad Museum
ATTN: Phil Sexton
111 I Street
Sacramento, CA 95814
Phone: (916) 445-7387
Website: https://www.californiarailroad.museum/

Historical Society of Southern California
P.O. Box 50019
Long Beach, CA 90815
Phone: (323) 222-0546
Website: https://thehssc.org/

California Preservation Foundation
ATTN: Cindy Heitzman (Executive Director)
5 Third Street, Suite 424
San Francisco, CA 94103
Phone: (415) 495-0349 x203
Website: https://californiapreservation.org/

California Historical Society
ATTN: Anthea M, Hartig (Executive Director and CEO)
678 Mission Street
San Francisco, CA 94105
Phone: (415) 357-1848
Website: https://www.californiahistoricalsociety.org/

Society of Architectural Historians Southern California Chapter
ATTN: Sian Winship (President)
P.O. Box 56478
Sherman Oaks, CA 91413
Phone: (800) 972-4722
Website: http://www.sahssc.org/site/index.php?function=home
August 2, 2017

San Bernardino Railroad Historical Society
Attn: President Warren Peterson
P.O. Box 875
San Bernardino, CA 92406

Dear Mr. Peterson,

On behalf of the San Bernardino County Transportation Agency (SBCTA) and the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), ICF is preparing historic and cultural resources documentation for the proposed Mount Vernon Avenue Bridge Replacement Project in order to comply with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act. The proposed project will replace the existing Mount Vernon Avenue Bridge (Bridge Number 54C-066) over the Burlington Northern Santa Fe (BNSF) rail yard in the City of San Bernardino. As part of our research, we are contacting local historical organizations to help identify any historic buildings, districts, objects, or archaeological sites of significance within the project area.

As part of our survey efforts of the project area, we are examining local, state and federal historic resources inventories and previous surveys or reports. Research will be conducted on all of the properties in the project area to determine their architectural and historical significance. Our evaluations will be based on the criteria for listing in the National Register of Historic Places. Any information you can provide to us will help assure that historic and cultural resources in the area are considered and protected. Attached to this letter is a map of the project area for your convenience.

As the proposed project extent will be wider than the bridge footprint, we are interested in any properties or sites in close proximity to the project area. If you or your organization have any information or concerns regarding cultural resources, historical resources, or historic properties within the project area please respond to this letter via my email at Rebecca.McGovern@icf.com or call me at my office number: (213) 312-1704.

Sincerely,

Rebecca McGovern
Architectural Historian

Attached: Map of proposed project area.
August 2, 2017

San Bernardino Historical and Pioneer Society
Attn: President Steve Shaw
P.O. Box 875
San Bernardino, CA 92402

Dear Mr. Shaw,

On behalf of the San Bernardino County Transportation Agency (SBCTA) and the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), ICF is preparing historic and cultural resources documentation for the proposed Mount Vernon Avenue Bridge Replacement Project in order to comply with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act. The proposed project will replace the existing Mount Vernon Avenue Bridge (Bridge Number 54C-066) over the Burlington Northern Santa Fe (BNSF) rail yard in the City of San Bernardino. As part of our research, we are contacting local historical organizations to help identify any historic buildings, districts, objects, or archaeological sites of significance within the project area.

As part of our survey efforts of the project area, we are examining local, state and federal historic resources inventories and previous surveys or reports. Research will be conducted on all of the properties in the project area to determine their architectural and historical significance. Our evaluations will be based on the criteria for listing in the National Register of Historic Places. Any information you can provide to us will help assure that historic and cultural resources in the area are considered and protected. Attached to this letter is a map of the project area for your convenience.

As the proposed project extent will be wider than the bridge footprint, we are interested in any properties or sites in close proximity to the project area. If you or your organization have any information or concerns regarding cultural resources, historical resources, or historic properties within the project area please respond to this letter via my email at Rebecca.McGovern@icf.com or call me at my office number: (213) 312-1704.

Sincerely,

Rebecca McGovern
Architectural Historian

Attached: Map of proposed project area.
August 2, 2017

San Bernardino County Museum
Attn: Museum Director Melissa Russo
2024 Orange Tree Lane
Redlands, California 92374

Dear Ms. Russo,

On behalf of the San Bernardino County Transportation Agency (SBCTA) and the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), ICF is preparing historic and cultural resources documentation for the proposed Mount Vernon Avenue Bridge Replacement Project in order to comply with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act. The proposed project will replace the existing Mount Vernon Avenue Bridge (Bridge Number 54C-066) over the Burlington Northern Santa Fe (BNSF) rail yard in the City of San Bernardino. As part of our research, we are contacting local historical organizations to help identify any historic buildings, districts, objects, or archaeological sites of significance within the project area.

As part of our survey efforts of the project area, we are examining local, state and federal historic resources inventories and previous surveys or reports. Research will be conducted on all of the properties in the project area to determine their architectural and historical significance. Our evaluations will be based on the criteria for listing in the National Register of Historic Places. Any information you can provide to us will help assure that historic and cultural resources in the area are considered and protected. *Attached to this letter is a map of the project area for your convenience.*

As the proposed project extent will be wider than the bridge footprint, we are interested in any properties or sites in close proximity to the project area. If you or your organization have any information or concerns regarding cultural resources, historical resources, or historic properties within the project area please respond to this letter via my email at Rebecca.McGovern@icf.com or call me at my office number: (213) 312-1704.

Sincerely,

Rebecca McGovern
Architectural Historian

Attached: Map of proposed project area.
August 2, 2017

San Bernardino County Historical Archives
Attn: Genevieve Preston
1808 Commercenter West, Suite D
San Bernardino, CA 02415

Dear Ms. Preston,

On behalf of the San Bernardino County Transportation Agency (SBCTA) and the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), ICF is preparing historic and cultural resources documentation for the proposed Mount Vernon Avenue Bridge Replacement Project in order to comply with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act. The proposed project will replace the existing Mount Vernon Avenue Bridge (Bridge Number 54C-066) over the Burlington Northern Santa Fe (BNSF) rail yard in the City of San Bernardino. As part of our research, we are contacting local historical organizations to help identify any historic buildings, districts, objects, or archaeological sites of significance within the project area.

As part of our survey efforts of the project area, we are examining local, state and federal historic resources inventories and previous surveys or reports. Research will be conducted on all of the properties in the project area to determine their architectural and historical significance. Our evaluations will be based on the criteria for listing in the National Register of Historic Places. Any information you can provide to us will help assure that historic and cultural resources in the area are considered and protected. Attached to this letter is a map of the project area for your convenience.

As the proposed project extent will be wider than the bridge footprint, we are interested in any properties or sites in close proximity to the project area. If you or your organization have any information or concerns regarding cultural resources, historical resources, or historic properties within the project area please respond to this letter via my email at Rebecca.McGovern@icf.com or call me at my office number: (213) 312-1704.

Sincerely,

Rebecca McGovern
Architectural Historian

Attached: Map of proposed project area.
August 2, 2017

Society of Architectural Historians Southern California Chapter
Attn: President Sian Winship
P.O. Box 56478
Sherman Oaks, CA 91413

Dear Ms. Winship

On behalf of the San Bernardino County Transportation Agency (SBCTA) and the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), ICF is preparing historic and cultural resources documentation for the proposed Mount Vernon Avenue Bridge Replacement Project in order to comply with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act. The proposed project will replace the existing Mount Vernon Avenue Bridge (Bridge Number 54C-066) over the Burlington Northern Santa Fe (BNSF) rail yard in the City of San Bernardino. As part of our research, we are contacting local historical organizations to help identify any historic buildings, districts, objects, or archaeological sites of significance within the project area.

As part of our survey efforts of the project area, we are examining local, state and federal historic resources inventories and previous surveys or reports. Research will be conducted on all of the properties in the project area to determine their architectural and historical significance. Our evaluations will be based on the criteria for listing in the National Register of Historic Places. Any information you can provide to us will help assure that historic and cultural resources in the area are considered and protected. Attached to this letter is a map of the project area for your convenience.

As the proposed project extent will be wider than the bridge footprint, we are interested in any properties or sites in close proximity to the project area. If you or your organization have any information or concerns regarding cultural resources, historical resources, or historic properties within the project area please respond to this letter via my email at Rebecca.McGovern@icf.com or call me at my office number: (213) 312-1704.

Sincerely,

Rebecca McGovern
Architectural Historian

Attached: Map of proposed project area.
August 2, 2017

California Historic Route 66 Association
17868 Highway 18
#152-66
Apple Valley, CA 92307

Dear California Historic Route 66 Association,

On behalf of the San Bernardino County Transportation Agency (SBCTA) and the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), ICF is preparing historic and cultural resources documentation for the proposed Mount Vernon Avenue Bridge Replacement Project in order to comply with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act. The proposed project will replace the existing Mount Vernon Avenue Bridge (Bridge Number 54C-066) over the Burlington Northern Santa Fe (BNSF) rail yard in the City of San Bernardino. As part of our research, we are contacting local historical organizations to help identify any historic buildings, districts, objects, or archaeological sites of significance within the project area.

As part of our survey efforts of the project area, we are examining local, state and federal historic resources inventories and previous surveys or reports. Research will be conducted on all of the properties in the project area to determine their architectural and historical significance. Our evaluations will be based on the criteria for listing in the National Register of Historic Places. Any information you can provide to us will help assure that historic and cultural resources in the area are considered and protected. Attached to this letter is a map of the project area for your convenience.

As the proposed project extent will be wider than the bridge footprint, we are interested in any properties or sites in close proximity to the project area. If you or your organization have any information or concerns regarding cultural resources, historical resources, or historic properties within the project area please respond to this letter via my email at Rebecca.McGovern@icf.com or call me at my office number: (213) 312-1704.

Sincerely,

Rebecca McGovern
Architectural Historian

Attached: Map of proposed project area.
August 2, 2017

Historical Society of Southern California
P.O. Box 50019
Long Beach, CA 90815

Dear Historical Society of Southern California,

On behalf of the San Bernardino County Transportation Agency (SBCTA) and the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), ICF is preparing historic and cultural resources documentation for the proposed Mount Vernon Avenue Bridge Replacement Project in order to comply with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act. The proposed project will replace the existing Mount Vernon Avenue Bridge (Bridge Number 54C-066) over the Burlington Northern Santa Fe (BNSF) rail yard in the City of San Bernardino. As part of our research, we are contacting local historical organizations to help identify any historic buildings, districts, objects, or archaeological sites of significance within the project area.

As part of our survey efforts of the project area, we are examining local, state and federal historic resources inventories and previous surveys or reports. Research will be conducted on all of the properties in the project area to determine their architectural and historical significance. Our evaluations will be based on the criteria for listing in the National Register of Historic Places. Any information you can provide to us will help assure that historic and cultural resources in the area are considered and protected. Attached to this letter is a map of the project area for your convenience.

As the proposed project extent will be wider than the bridge footprint, we are interested in any properties or sites in close proximity to the project area. If you or your organization have any information or concerns regarding cultural resources, historical resources, or historic properties within the project area please respond to this letter via my email at Rebecca.McGovern@icf.com or call me at my office number: (213) 312-1704.

Sincerely,

Rebecca McGovern
Architectural Historian

Attached: Map of proposed project area.
August 2, 2017

California Preservation Foundation
Attn: Executive Director Cindy Heitzman
5 Third Street, Suite 424
San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Ms. Heitzman,

On behalf of the San Bernardino County Transportation Agency (SBCTA) and the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), ICF is preparing historic and cultural resources documentation for the proposed Mount Vernon Avenue Bridge Replacement Project in order to comply with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act. The proposed project will replace the existing Mount Vernon Avenue Bridge (Bridge Number 54C-066) over the Burlington Northern Santa Fe (BNSF) rail yard in the City of San Bernardino. As part of our research, we are contacting local historical organizations to help identify any historic buildings, districts, objects, or archaeological sites of significance within the project area.

As part of our survey efforts of the project area, we are examining local, state and federal historic resources inventories and previous surveys or reports. Research will be conducted on all of the properties in the project area to determine their architectural and historical significance. Our evaluations will be based on the criteria for listing in the National Register of Historic Places. Any information you can provide to us will help assure that historic and cultural resources in the area are considered and protected. Attached to this letter is a map of the project area for your convenience.

As the proposed project extent will be wider than the bridge footprint, we are interested in any properties or sites in close proximity to the project area. If you or your organization have any information or concerns regarding cultural resources, historical resources, or historic properties within the project area please respond to this letter via my email at Rebecca.McGovern@icf.com or call me at my office number: (213) 312-1704.

Sincerely,

Rebecca McGovern
Architectural Historian

Attached: Map of proposed project area.
August 2, 2017

City of San Bernardino Historic Preservation Commission  
Community Development, Planning Division  
Attn: Lisa Sherrick  
290 North D Street  
San Bernardino, CA 92401

Dear Ms. Sherrick,

On behalf of the San Bernardino County Transportation Agency (SBCTA) and the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), ICF is preparing historic and cultural resources documentation for the proposed Mount Vernon Avenue Bridge Replacement Project in order to comply with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act. The proposed project will replace the existing Mount Vernon Avenue Bridge (Bridge Number 54C-066) over the Burlington Northern Santa Fe (BNSF) rail yard in the City of San Bernardino. As part of our research, we are contacting local historical organizations to help identify any historic buildings, districts, objects, or archaeological sites of significance within the project area.

As part of our survey efforts of the project area, we are examining local, state and federal historic resources inventories and previous surveys or reports. Research will be conducted on all of the properties in the project area to determine their architectural and historical significance. Our evaluations will be based on the criteria for listing in the National Register of Historic Places. Any information you can provide to us will help assure that historic and cultural resources in the area are considered and protected. Attached to this letter is a map of the project area for your convenience.

As the proposed project extent will be wider than the bridge footprint, we are interested in any properties or sites in close proximity to the project area. If you or your organization have any information or concerns regarding cultural resources, historical resources, or historic properties within the project area please respond to this letter via my email at Rebecca.McGovern@icf.com or call me at my office number: (213) 312-1704.

Sincerely,

Rebecca McGovern  
Architectural Historian

Attached: Map of proposed project area.
August 2, 2017

California Historical Society
Attn: Executive Director Anthea M. Hartig
678 Mission Street
San Francisco, CA 94105

Dear Ms. Hartig,

On behalf of the San Bernardino County Transportation Agency (SBCTA) and the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), ICF is preparing historic and cultural resources documentation for the proposed Mount Vernon Avenue Bridge Replacement Project in order to comply with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act. The proposed project will replace the existing Mount Vernon Avenue Bridge (Bridge Number 54C-066) over the Burlington Northern Santa Fe (BNSF) rail yard in the City of San Bernardino. As part of our research, we are contacting local historical organizations to help identify any historic buildings, districts, objects, or archaeological sites of significance within the project area.

As part of our survey efforts of the project area, we are examining local, state and federal historic resources inventories and previous surveys or reports. Research will be conducted on all of the properties in the project area to determine their architectural and historical significance. Our evaluations will be based on the criteria for listing in the National Register of Historic Places. Any information you can provide to us will help assure that historic and cultural resources in the area are considered and protected. Attached to this letter is a map of the project area for your convenience.

As the proposed project extent will be wider than the bridge footprint, we are interested in any properties or sites in close proximity to the project area. If you or your organization have any information or concerns regarding cultural resources, historical resources, or historic properties within the project area please respond to this letter via my email at Rebecca.McGovern@icf.com or call me at my office number: (213) 312-1704.

Sincerely,

Rebecca McGovern
Architectural Historian

Attached: Map of proposed project area.
August 2, 2017

California State Railroad Museum
Attn: Phil Sexton
111 I Street
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Sexton,

On behalf of the San Bernardino County Transportation Agency (SBCTA) and the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), ICF is preparing historic and cultural resources documentation for the proposed Mount Vernon Avenue Bridge Replacement Project in order to comply with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act. The proposed project will replace the existing Mount Vernon Avenue Bridge (Bridge Number 54C-066) over the Burlington Northern Santa Fe (BNSF) rail yard in the City of San Bernardino. As part of our research, we are contacting local historical organizations to help identify any historic buildings, districts, objects, or archaeological sites of significance within the project area.

As part of our survey efforts of the project area, we are examining local, state and federal historic resources inventories and previous surveys or reports. Research will be conducted on all of the properties in the project area to determine their architectural and historical significance. Our evaluations will be based on the criteria for listing in the National Register of Historic Places. Any information you can provide to us will help assure that historic and cultural resources in the area are considered and protected. Attached to this letter is a map of the project area for your convenience.

As the proposed project extent will be wider than the bridge footprint, we are interested in any properties or sites in close proximity to the project area. If you or your organization have any information or concerns regarding cultural resources, historical resources, or historic properties within the project area please respond to this letter via my email at Rebecca.McGovern@icf.com or call me at my office number: (213) 312-1704.

Sincerely,

Rebecca McGovern
Architectural Historian

Attached: Map of proposed project area.
Hello,
Thank you for your letter dated August 2, 2017.

To research the properties effected by the bridge you will need to come to our Reading room to use the collection. One resource you might be interested in is the Assessor lot books that date from 1898 to 1951. These books listing the properties and their assessed tax values and can help you determine when a structure was built. Recorder Records are part of the collection and can show the transfer of properties and the names of people who owned the structures. Both of these records require the legal description of the property. If you have the addresses we, Archives staff, can help you locate the legal descriptions.

Unfortunately, the collection does not maintain surveys on properties but we can help you through the aforementioned books or through Newspaper searches.

The Archive is open Monday through Friday from 8 to 11:30 and 1 to 3. Our address is 1808 Commercenter West Suite D, San Bernardino CA 92408.

Please let us know if you have any further question and we look forward to helping you with your research.

Genevieve Preston
San Bernardino County Historical Archives
Archivist
Assessor-Recorder-County Clerk
Office of Bob Dutton
Phone: 909-890-1713
1808 Commercenter West, Suite D
San Bernardino, CA, 92408

Our job is to create a county in which those who reside and invest can prosper and achieve well-being.
www.SBCounty.gov

County of San Bernardino Confidentiality Notice: This communication contains confidential information sent solely for the use of the intended recipient. If you are not the intended recipient of this communication, you are not authorized to use it in any manner, except to immediately destroy it and notify the sender.