MEMORANDUM

To: $\quad$ Michael Grubbs, City of San Bernardino<br>From: Steven Greene and Wahid Farhat, Iteris, Inc.<br>Date: $\quad$ November 3, 2009<br>Subject: Mount Vernon Avenue Bridge Traffic Conditions<br>Job \#: J09-1638

## Introduction

The purpose of this memorandum is to update the existing conditions traffic data for the Mount Vernon Avenue Bridge replacement project. A previous Pedestrian and Vehicular Detour Analysis (LSA Associates, 2004) documented the existing conditions at 22 intersections at that time.

This memorandum summarizes the results of the existing level of service (LOS) at all 22 study intersections. The analysis of traffic operations at intersections was conducted according to the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM 2000) Operations Methodology. The analysis was conducted using Synchro 6 software. This document also forecasts the year 2035 design hour volume (DHV) and average daily traffic (ADT) for the Mount Vernon Avenue Bridge using existing 2009 counts and model data from the base year and horizon year of the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) 2008 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) travel demand model.

## Existing (2009) Traffic Volumes

A detailed inventory of intersection geometrics and control type was conducted in October 2009 at the 22 study intersections. The lane geometry and control type of the study intersections are illustrated in Figure 1. Vehicle turning movement counts were conducted during the a.m. peak period (7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m.) and the p.m. peak period (4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m.) at the 22 study intersections in October 2009. The hour with the highest total traffic volume at each intersection was taken to be the peak hour for that peak period. Detailed vehicle turning movement data are included in Appendix A. Vehicle classification counts (e.g., passenger vehicle, 2-axle truck, 3-axle truck, and 4 or more axle truck), were conducted at the following four study intersections:

- 5th Street and Mount Vernon Avenue
- 3rd Street and H Street
- 2nd Street and Mount Vernon Avenue
- Rialto Avenue and Mount Vernon Avenue

The traffic counts for these intersections were converted to passenger car equivalent (PCE) volumes using PCE factors of $1.5,2.0$, and 3.0 for 2 -axle, 3 -axle, and 4 -axle trucks, respectively. Truck percentages for the remaining intersections for which classification counts were not collected were developed from the percentages at adjacent intersections. Volume development worksheets are included in Appendix B. Existing 2009 PCE volumes for the weekday peak hours are illustrated in Figure 2.

In addition, a 24 -hour directional volume count was conducted for the Mount Vernon Avenue Bridge in October 2009. Approximately 14,700 vehicles per day cross the bridge. Table 1 and Table 2 summarize the 2009 peak hour and daily traffic volumes. The 24 -hour directional volume counts are documented in Appendix C.

Table 1: Existing 2009 AM and PM Peak Hour Traffic Volume at the Mount Vernon Avenue Bridge

| Location | AM Peak Hour Volume |  |  | PM Peak Hour Volume |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Northbound | Southbound | Total | Northbound | Southbound | Total |
| Mount Vernon Avenue Bridge | 494 | 537 | 1031 | 655 | 592 | 1247 |

Table 2: Existing 2009 Daily Traffic Volume at the Mount Vernon Avenue Bridge

| Location | Daily Traffic Volume |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Northbound | Southbound | Total |
| Mount Vernon Avenue Bridge | 7519 | 7158 | 14677 |




## Existing (2009) Levels of Service

A level of service analysis using HCM 2000 methodologies was conducted to evaluate existing a.m. and p.m. peak hour traffic conditions at the study intersections. The results of the intersection level of service analysis are summarized in Table 3. Detailed level of service calculation worksheets are included in Appendix D.

Table 3: Existing AM and PM Peak Hour Intersection Level of Service

| Intersection | Control | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | V/C | Delay | LOS | V/C | Delay | LOS |
| 1. Foothill Boulevard and Rancho Avenue | TWSC | - | 18.2 | C | - | 18.3 | C |
| 2. 5th Street and Medical Center Drive | Signal | 0.30 | 8.1 | A | 0.36 | 9.3 | A |
| 3. 5th Street and Cabrera Avenue | Signal | 0.23 | 1.8 | A | 0.21 | 2.7 | A |
| 4. 5th Street and Mount Vernon Avenue | Signal | 0.49 | 10.8 | B | 0.45 | 11.6 | B |
| 5. 5th Street and L Street | Signal | 0.28 | 2.9 | A | 0.27 | 4.1 | A |
| 6. 5th Street (Foothill Boulevard) and 4th Street | Signal | 0.34 | 3.4 | A | 0.28 | 3.3 | A |
| 7. 5th Street and H Street | Signal | 0.33 | 13.0 | B | 0.45 | 17.3 | B |
| 8. 4th Street (l-215 On Ramps) and H Street | Signal | 0.24 | 4.0 | A | 0.54 | 8.1 | A |
| 9. 3rd Street and I Street | Signal | 0.18 | 4.3 | A | 0.16 | 5.4 | A |
| 10. 3rd Street and H Street | Signal | 0.18 | 8.0 | A | 0.22 | 9.0 | A |
| 11. 2nd Street and Mount Vernon Avenue | Signal | 0.42 | 14.7 | B | 0.54 | 18.7 | B |
| 12. 2nd Street and K Street | AWSC | 0.20 | 8.5 | A | 0.24 | 9.3 | A |
| 13. 2nd Street and I Street | Signal | 0.29 | 5.0 | A | 0.23 | 4.6 | A |
| 14. 2nd Street and I-215 SB On Ramp | Signal | 0.29 | 3.9 | A | 0.48 | 5.9 | A |
| 15. 2nd Street and I-215 NB On Ramp | Signal | 0.52 | 13.1 | B | 0.48 | 13.5 | B |
| 16. 2nd Street and G Street | Signal | 0.43 | 14.4 | B | 0.51 | 18.1 | B |
| 17. Rialto Avenue and Rancho Avenue | Signal | 0.25 | 6.3 | A | 0.31 | 6.3 | A |
| 18. Rialto Avenue and Santa Fe Way | Signal | 0.21 | 2.8 | A | 0.19 | 2.4 | A |
| 19. Rialto Avenue and Mount Vernon Avenue | Signal | 0.39 | 6.0 | A | 0.36 | 5.8 | A |
| 20. Rialto Avenue and K Street | Signal | 0.29 | 8.1 | A | 0.39 | 9.3 | A |
| 21. Rialto Avenue and I Street | Signal | 0.36 | 5.5 | A | 0.31 | 4.7 | A |
| 22. Rialto Avenue and G Street | Signal | 0.30 | 5.6 | A | 0.31 | 5.0 | A |

Notes
HCM 2000 Operation Methodology.
V/C = Volume-to-Capacity Ratio
Delay = Average Vehicle Delay (Seconds). At TWSC intersections, worst-case approach is reported
LOS = Level of Service
TWSC = Two-Way Stop Control
AWSC = All way Stop Control
An examination of the data in Table 3 indicates that all 22 study intersections are currently operating at satisfactory levels of service. In the 2004 Pedestrian and Vehicular Detour Analysis study, the intersection of Foothill Boulevard and Rancho Avenue was operating at an unsatisfactory level of service due to the closure of the Mount Vernon Avenue Bridge and the resulting redistribution of traffic through Rancho Avenue. Under current conditions, that intersection has returned to a satisfactory LOS.

## Year 2035 DHV and ADT Calculations

To develop year 2035 design hour and daily traffic forecasts, year 2008 peak period and daily link (roadway segment) volumes were obtained from the travel demand model. Year 2035 peak period and daily link volumes were also obtained from the travel demand model. Raw modeled traffic volumes were post-processed using the methodology described below.

The change in directional, peak period volumes on each roadway segment was calculated by subtracting year 2008 modeled volumes from year 2035 modeled volumes. Since the model uses a four-hour p.m. peak period, the peak period growth was factored to determine the growth during the p.m. peak hour. Based on SCAG guidelines, the growth during the p.m. peak period was multiplied by a factor of 0.28 .

The changes in peak hour and daily traffic volumes represent growth in traffic over the 27year period from 2008 to 2035 . Since there are 26 years between the year of the existing counts (2009) and 2035, this growth was multiplied by $26 / 27$ to calculate the growth expected through 2035. This factored growth was then added to the existing (2009) volumes on each roadway segment to develop post-processed year 2035 roadway segment volumes.

Table 4 and Table 5 summarize the year 2035 DHV and ADT calculations. Model plots are contained in Appendix E.

Table 4: Year 2035 DHV Calculation

| Existing 2009 <br> Volume <br> (PM Peak Hour) | 2008 Model <br> Volume <br> (Peak Period) | 2035 Model <br> Volume <br> (Peak Period) | Growth <br> (2008 to 2035) | Factored <br> Growth <br> (200 to 2035) | Peak Hour <br> Growth | 2035 <br> DHV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1247 | 6206 | 6718 | 512 | 494 | 139 | 1386 |

Table 5: Year 2035 ADT Calculation

| Existing <br> ADT | 2008 Model <br> ADT | 2008 Model <br> ADT | Growth <br> $(\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ to 2035) | Factored Growth <br> (2009 to 2035) | $\mathbf{2 0 3 5}$ <br> ADT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14677 | 15619 | 17104 | 1485 | 1430 | 16107 |

