Financial Statements June 30, 2020 City of San Bernardino, California Measure I Fund



Independent Auditor's Report	1
Financial Statements	
Balance Sheet Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Change in Fund Balance Notes to Financial Statements	5
Required Supplementary Information	
Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and Change in Fund Balance – Budget and Actual Note to Required Supplementary Information	
Other Information	
Program Status: Comparison of 5 Year Plan Project Budget to Current Year Expenditures Maintenance of Effort: Comparison of Base Level Amount to Annual Expenditures	
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i> and <i>Measure I Compliance Requirements</i>	.17
Schedule of Findings and Responses Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings	



CPAs & BUSINESS ADVISORS

Independent Auditor's Report

To the Board of Directors San Bernardino County Transportation Authority San Bernardino, California

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Measure I Fund of the City of San Bernardino, California (City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2020, and the related notes to the financial statements, as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Measure I Fund of the City as of June 30, 2020, and the respective changes in financial position thereof for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 1, the financial statements present only the Measure I Fund and do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the City as of June 30, 2020, and the changes in its financial position, or where applicable, its cash flows for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Other Matters

Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the budgetary comparison information on pages 12 and 13 be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Management has omitted the management's discussion and analysis for the Measure I Fund that accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires to be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such missing information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting and for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. Our opinion on the basic financial statements is not affected by this missing information.

Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements of the Measure I Fund of the City. The program status and maintenance of effort schedules (other information) on pages 15 and 16, respectively, are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the basic financial statements. The other information has not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements, and accordingly, we do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on it.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated January 26, 2021, on our consideration of the City's internal control over financial reporting of the Measure I Fund and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over financial reporting and compliance, as it relates to the Measure I Fund.

Sally LLP

Rancho Cucamonga, Cálifornia January 26, 2021

	City of San Bernardino, California Measure I Fund Balance Sheet June 30, 2020			
ssets				
Cash and investments	\$ 6,014,773			
Taxes receivable	750,673			
Due from other governments	83 376			

Due from other governments Interest receivable	83,376 19,141
Total assets	\$ 6,867,963
Liabilities and Fund Balance	
Liabilities Accounts payable	\$ 305,324
Fund Balance Restricted	6,562,639
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ 6,867,963

Assets

Revenues Measure I sales tax Other revenue Investment income	\$ 4,054,661 488,623 167,472
Total revenues	4,710,756
Expenditures Capital	
Electrical Charges Construction	1,582,800 6,908,632
Total expenditures	8,491,432
Net Change in Fund Balance	(3,780,676)
Fund Balance, Beginning of Year	10,343,315
Fund Balance, End of Year	\$ 6,562,639

Note 1 - General Information

Reporting Entity

The financial statements are intended to reflect the financial position and changes in financial position of the Measure I Fund of the City of San Bernardino, California (City) only. Accordingly, the financial statements do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the City, as of June 30, 2020, and the changes in financial position thereof for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Measure I

Measure I is the half-cent sales tax collected throughout San Bernardino County for transportation improvements. In 2004, San Bernardino County voters approved the extension of the Measure I sales tax through 2040. See Note 4 for a detailed description of the Measure.

Note 2 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The accounting policies of the Measure I Fund of the City conform to accounting policies generally accepted in the United States of America. The following is a summary of significant accounting policies.

A. Basis of Accounting

Governmental Fund Financial Statements

Fund Accounting

The accounts of the City are organized on the basis of funds. A fund is defined as an independent fiscal and accounting entity wherein operations of each fund are accounted for in a separate set of self-balancing accounts that record resources, related benefits, and equity, segregated for the purpose of carrying out specific activities. The City accounts for the Measure I activities within its Measure I Special Revenue Fund.

Special revenue funds are used to account for the proceeds of revenue sources that are restricted or committed to expenditure for specified purposes.

Measurement Focus and Basis of Accounting

The special revenue funds of the City are accounted for using the current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized as soon as they are both measurable and available. Revenues are considered to be available when they are collected within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period. For this purpose, revenues are available if they are collected within 60 days of the end of the fiscal period. Expenditures generally are recorded when a liability is incurred. Operating statements of governmental funds present increases (revenues and other financing sources) and decreases (expenditures and other financing uses) in net current resources.

B. Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenditures during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

C. Fund Balances

Fund balance is reported according to the following classifications: nonspendable, restricted, committed, assigned, and unassigned based on the relative strength of the constraints that control how specific amounts can be spent.

Restricted fund balance represents amounts that can be spent only for specific purposes because of constitutional provisions or enabling legislation or because of constraints that are externally imposed by creditors, grantors, contributors, or the laws or regulations of other governments.

When an expenditure is incurred for purposes for which both restricted and unrestricted fund balance is available, the City considers restricted funds to have been spent first.

D. Cash and Investments

Cash and investments are pooled by the City to facilitate cash management and maximize investment opportunities and yields. Investment income resulting from this pooling is allocated to the respective funds including the Measure I Fund based upon the average cash balance. The investment policies and the risks related to cash and investments, applicable to the Measure I Fund, are those of the City and are disclosed in the City's basic financial statements. The City's basic financial statements can be obtained online at the City's website, or at the City's Finance Department. The Measure I Fund's cash and investments are reported at fair value. The fair value measurements are based on the fair value hierarchy established by generally accepted accounting principles. The hierarchy is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the asset. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical assets; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. The Measure I Fund's deposits and withdrawals in the City Investment Pool are made on the basis of \$1 and not fair value. Accordingly, the Measure I Fund's investment in the City Investment Pool is measured based on uncategorized inputs not defined as Level 1, Level 2, or Level 3 input.

F. Maintenance of Effort

In accordance with California Public Utilities Code 190300 and Ordinance No. 04-01 of the San Bernardino County Transportation Authority (SBCTA), Local Street Program funds shall not be used to supplant existing local discretionary funds being used for street and highway purposes. The maintenance of effort (MOE) base year level was determined based upon the discretionary General Fund expenditures for transportation-related construction and maintenance activities in fiscal year 2008/2009. The MOE base year level as approved by the SBCTA Board of Directors shall remain in effect until the expiration of Measure I 2010-2040. General Fund expenditures in excess of the MOE base year level will carry over to subsequent fiscal years and can be applied in a future year to offset the amount the local agency may need to meet the MOE requirement. The City's determined MOE base level is \$1,075,643.

Note 3 - Taxes Receivable

Taxes receivable represents the Measure I sales tax revenues for the fiscal year received from SBCTA after June 30, 2020.

Note 4 - Due from Other Governments

Due from other governments of \$83,376 represent a reimbursement from SBCTA for the State Street Extension Phase 1 from 16th Street to Baseline Street Project, a project on the Valley Arterial Sub-Program in the amount of \$75,492. The remaining \$7,884 represent a reimbursement from the Department of Transportation for project ATP Cycle 2 Safe Routes to School. The amount is also reflected as other revenue.

Note 5 - Other Revenue

Other revenue in the amount of \$488,623 represent the following: \$101,492 reimbursed by SBCTA for the State Street Extension Phase 1 from 16th Street to Baseline Street Project and Cooperative agreement between the City and SBCTA for the San Bernardino Valley Coordinate Traffic Signal System, \$46,049 reimbursed by the Department of Transportation, and \$341, 082 reimbursed by the County of San Bernardino for a Cooperative agreement with the City of San Bernardino for the Sterling Avenue and Base Line Street Rehabilitation Project.

Note 6 - Measure I Fund

The California State Legislature authorized county transportation authorities to enact local option sales tax measures for transportation improvements in the late 1980s, under provisions of Division 19 (commencing with Section 180000) of the Public Utilities Code. In November 1989, San Bernardino County voters approved passage of Measure I, authorizing SBCTA to impose a half-cent retail transactions and use tax applicable in the incorporated and unincorporated areas of the County of San Bernardino for the 20-year period between April 1, 1990 and March 31, 2010. SBCTA is authorized to administer the programs described in the Measure.

Early in the second decade of Measure I, it became apparent that continuation of the half cent sales tax would be critical to maintaining funding for transportation in San Bernardino County. SBCTA member jurisdictions and transportation stakeholders worked to identify transportation needs, and an expenditure plan was developed to serve as a basis for the renewal of Measure I. Ordinance No. 04-01 was placed before voters in November 2004, and Measure I was renewed. The new Measure I extends the half cent sales tax for 30 years, from April 1, 2010 through March 31, 2040. The new Measure is referred to as Measure I 2010-2040 to distinguish it from the first Measure I.

Revenue from the tax can only be used for transportation improvement and traffic management programs authorized in the Expenditure Plan.

Measure I 2010-2040 has a return-to-source provision that states that funds shall be allocated to subareas in accordance with the actual revenue collected in each subarea. After deduction of required Board of Equalization fees and authorized administrative costs, revenues generated in each subarea are to be expended on projects of direct benefit to that subarea. Revenues are accounted for separately for each subarea and then allocated to specified project categories in each subarea. These project categories are termed "programs" in the Strategic Plan. Decisions on how revenues are expended within the subareas are made by the SBCTA Board of Directors based upon recommendations of local subarea representatives. Other than the projects identified in the Cajon Pass Expenditure Plan, revenues generated within a subarea can be expended outside of that subarea only upon approval of two-thirds (2/3) of the jurisdictions within the affected subarea. A proportional share of projected state and federal transportation funds is to be reserved for use solely within the Valley subarea and individual Mountain/Desert (Colorado River, Morongo Basin, Mountains, North Desert and Victor Valley) subareas. In the San Bernardino Valley subarea, Measure I 2010-2040 contains the following programs:

- Freeway Program
- Freeway Interchange Program
- Major Street Program
- Local Street Program
- Metrolink/Rail Program
- Express Bus/Bus Rapid Transit Program
- Senior and Disabled Transit Program
- Traffic Management Systems Program

In each of the Mountain/Desert subareas, Measure I 2010-2040 contains the following programs:

- Local Street Program
- Major Local Highway Program
- Senior and Disabled Transit Program

Note 7 - Agreements

The City has entered into an agreement with SBCTA for the construction of H Street Widening Drive to 40th Street Project, Mt Vernon Bridge Reconstruction to 4 lanes, State Street Widening from 16th Street to Foothill Blvd, 5th Street Widening from Sterling to Victoria, and 40th Street Widening from Johnson Street to Electric Avenue Project. The projects are identified in the SBCTA Nexus Study and the projects will be carried out in accordance with policies of the Measure I 2010-2040 Strategic Plan. The projects are partially funded with Development Impact Fees (DIF). The estimated project costs are \$3,135,468; \$183,925,717; \$9,045,110; \$6,075,896; and \$4,890,764, respectively. The City is responsible for a 32.4% of the total eligible project construction costs for both projects. The city has paid \$535,468 from DIF for the H Street Widening Project, \$78,815,750 from DIF for the Mt Vernon Bridge Project, \$2,762,107 from DIF for the State Street Widening Project, \$495,000 from DIF for the 5th Street Widening Project, and \$1,690,764 from DIF for the 40th Street Widening Project during fiscal year ended June 30, 2020.



Required Supplementary Information June 30, 2020 City of San Bernardino, California Measure I Fund

City of San Bernardino, California Measure I Fund Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and Change in Fund Balance – Budget and Actual Year Ended June 30, 2020

		lget		Variance From Final Budget Positive
-	Original	Final	Actual	(Negative)
Revenues	¢ 0.776.505		÷	¢ 270.070
Measure I sales tax	\$ 3,776,585	\$ 3,776,585	\$ 4,054,661	\$ 278,076
Other revenue	-	-	488,623	488,623
Investment income			167,472	167,472
Total revenues	3,776,585	3,776,585	4,710,756	934,171
Expenditures Capital				
Electrical charges	1,650,000	1,650,000	1,582,800	67,200
Construction	1,789,000	11,050,677	6,908,632	4,142,045
			<u> </u>	,
Total expenditures	3,439,000	12,700,677	8,491,432	4,209,245
Net Change in Fund Balance	337,585	(8,924,092)	(3,780,676)	5,143,416
Fund Balance, Beginning of Year	10,343,315	10,343,315	10,343,315	
Fund Balance, End of Year	\$ 10,680,900	\$ 1,419,223	\$ 6,562,639	\$ 5,143,416

Note 1 - Budgetary Data

The City adopts an annual budget on a basis consistent with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Other Information June 30, 2020 City of San Bernardino, California Measure I Fund The Measure I Five Year Capital Improvement Plan for local streets was adopted by Council Resolution No. 2020-115. Of the funds allocated under the Measure I Five Year Capital Improvement Plan, the following programs were affected during the current fiscal year:

Local Projects	5-Year Plan Project Estimate	Current Fiscal Year Expenditures	Over/(Under) Estimate	
University Parkway & I-215 Freeway Interchange Improvements (SS04-019)	\$ 74,055	\$ 74,055	\$-	
ATP Cycle 2 - Safe Route to Schools Project at 3 Locations (SS-17-001) 48th Street between Western Avenue and Magnolia Avenue, Magnolia Avenue between 48th Street and Reservoir Drive, Randall Avenue between Pepper Avenue and Meridian Avenue, Meridian Avenue between Randall Avenue and Los Robles Street, Pepper Avenue between Randall Avenue and Los Robles Street and Perris Hill Park Road between Gilbert Street and Pacific Street	-	11,058	(11,058)	
Street Rehabilitation at 12 Locations (SS18-003): Waterman Ave from Mill St to Central, Commercial Road from Waterman Ave to Steel Rd, "H" St from Northpark Blvd to 48th St, Baseline St from "H" St to "E" St, Central Ave from Highland Ave to State Route 210 and from Pacific to State Route 210, California St from Cajon Blvd to 30th St, Inland Center Dr from Adell St to "E" St, Second St from "D" St to Waterman Ave, Steele Rd from Weir Rd to Industrial Rd, Pumalo St from Golden Ave to Erwin Ave	2,101,200	2,102,695	(1,495)	
Mountain Avenue And 40th Street Improvements (SS18-004)	755,781	2,546	753,235	
Sterling Ave Rehabilitation From Pacific Street To Highland Avenue & Conejo And 39Th Street Sd Replacement (Co-Op Project - County Lead) (SS19-05)	700,000	1,834	698,166	
Annual Concrete Repair (SS-P)		802	(802)	
Citywide Pavement Rehabilitation (Slurry / Crack Seal) (SS-D)	1,244,476	1,244,454	22	
Pepper Avenue Rehabilitation from Baseline Rd to Mill St	200,000	569	199,431	
Citywide Street Rehabilitation (Annual): Industrial Rd from Water Ave to Stee	le Rd 500,000	2,837	497,163	
Co-op with City of Highland (SS19-002)	655,269	655,269	-	
H Street Widening From Kendall To 40th Street (SS04-152)	-	11,288	(11,288)	
Citywide Bus Stop Improvements	39,064	977	38,087	
Street Rehabilitation at 10 Locations (SS18-002) at 8th St b/w Medical Center Dr. and Mt Vernon Ave., Arrowhead Ave b/w 7th Street and 9th St., Davidson St b/w 16th St and 19th St., Evans St b/w Western Ave and Medical Center Dr, Garner Ave b/w Baseline St and 14th St., "J" St Pavement b/w 8th St and 11th St., Little Mtn. Dr b/w Kendall and 30th St., Sierra Way b/w 9th St. and Baseline St., Western Ave b/w Union St and 9th St.,				
Wilson St b/w 9th St and Baseline St.	2,674,173	2,676,173	(2,000)	
Citywide Street Assessment	124,075	124,075	-	
Electrical Charges for Street Lights and Traffic Signals	1,650,000	1,582,800	67,200	
Total expenditures		\$ 8,491,432		
Тс	otal expenditures in Measure I Fund	\$ 8,491,432		

The maintenance of effort base level amount was determined based upon fiscal year 2008/09 spending levels. Excess/(deficient) amounts are carried forward in accordance with the Measure I Strategic Plan. Actual amounts expended in relation to the base level amount in each year subsequent to the base year are as follows:

Fiscal Year Ending June 30,	S	eneral Fund treet and hway Funds Utilized	 Base Level Amount	(Excess/ Deficiency)	-	umulative Excess/ Deficiency)
2010	\$	1,081,305	\$ (1,075,643)	\$	5,662	\$	5,662
2011		(776,984)	(1,075,643)		(1,852,627)		(1,846,965)
2012		(511,222)	(1,075,643)		(1,586,865)		(3,433,830)
2013		4,877	(1,075,643)		(1,070,766)		(4,504,596)
2014		4,580	(1,075,643)		(1,071,063)		(5,575,659)
2015		457,374	(1,075,643)		(618,269)		(6,193,928)
2016		260,138	(1,075,643)		(815,505)		(7,009,433)
2017		395,511	(1,075,643)		(680,132)		(7,689,565)
2018		887,745	(1,075,643)		(187,898)		(7,877,463)
2019		8,437,051	(1,075,643)		7,361,408		(516,055)



CPAs & BUSINESS ADVISORS

Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and *Measure I Compliance Requirements*

To the Board of Directors San Bernardino County Transportation Authority San Bernardino, California

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards,* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Measure I Fund of the City of San Bernardino, California (City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2020, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Measure I Fund's basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated January 26, 2021. Our report included an emphasis of matter stating that the financial statements of the Measure I Funds do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the City as of June 30, 2020. In addition, our report included an explanatory paragraph stating that the financial statements do not include management's discussion and analysis.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting of the Measure I Fund (internal control) as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements of the Measure I Fund of the City are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, including requirements of Measure I as specified in the agreement between the City and San Bernardino County Transportation Authority (SBCTA), noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed an instance of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards, including the Measure I requirements as specified in the agreement between the City and SBCTA, which is described in the accompanying schedule of findings and responses as item 2020-001.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Ide Bailly LLP

Rancho Cucamonga, Cálifornia January 26, 2021

Finding 2020-001 Projects included on the Five Year Capital Improvement Plan

Criteria:	The Measure I Strategic Plan states that the Five Year Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) shall be the basis for the annual audit. Jurisdictions will have flexibility with timing in moving projects within their Five Year CIP. However, in order for a project to be eligible for Measure I funds, the project must be included in the current CIP. An amended CIP should be used in instances where projects are added to the CIP during the year.
Condition:	The City utilized Measure I funds for certain projects that were not included in the current CIP.
Context:	The City utilized MSI monies to fund costs for certain projects. While the costs were considered allowable project expenses in accordance with the strategic plan, the projects were not included on the current Five Year CIP.
Effect:	Measure I resources were utilized for costs on projects that were not included on the current Five Year CIP.
Cause:	The City did not submit an amended CIP.
Recommendation:	We recommend the City enhance its procedures to ensure that projects that have activity are included in the current Five Year CIP. This can be achieved through submitting a revised CIP to SBCTA as necessary.
View of Responsible Officials and Planned Corrective Action:	Going forward, the City will make sure that any expenditure activity for a given year is properly reflected on the 5-Year CIP for that year.

Finding No	Description	Status		
2019-001	Maintenance of Effort Acknowledgment	Implemented		